

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76607
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON DIABETIC INDUCED COMPLICATIONS AND THEIR PRONE TOWARDS SURGERY
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESERCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Outcome assessed is unclear: is it assessing patient satisfaction or assessing their prone towards surgery?2. Results: DM as a systemic disease, so it does not make sense if one diagnosis or problem always occurs in only one patient.3. Introduction: the description in the introduction is not in line with the problem to be studied.4. Result: Data presentation is not clear, impractical, lots of repetition5. Discussion: unclear6. Conclusion: not clear	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. outcome assessment done patient satisfaction after surgery.2.The study includes and covers all the patients with prevalent time of complications that leads to surgery and it does not mean only one complication occur in all the patients.3.Introduction corrected as per your guidelines and Alignment done in line.4.All results are corrected and importantly the raw data should be present in the study as a part of statistical analysis.5 and 6. Discussion and conclusion were corrected as per your guidelines.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	It was approved by Institutional ethical committee