

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71192
Title of the Manuscript:	SPLENIC MARGINAL ZONE LYMPHOMA – A Case Report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It is better to put images for the result of the biopsy and the immunohistochemical results.	Made revision
Optional/General comments	Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks for your trust and giving me a chance in revising the current article. The abstract is concise, properly structured and complete. The results are impressive, defines relevant problems and concise. The conclusion is logic and clinically significant The references are appropriate, complete, up to date and accurate. Generally: SPLENIC MARGINAL ZONE LYMPHOMA – A Case Report is clinically sound. The Research opens avenues for further investigation and study of the molecular biology and genetics of the spleen. The language is scientific and easy to understand. As a result, I recommend accepting the submitted paper as it is.	Corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>