

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71067
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID19: PREVENTIVE MEASURES, VACCINATION AND WAY FORWARD
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A summary was provided in this review article. This research is significant since it highlights the need of preventative measures on a regular basis. The recent increase in incidence in many nations can be attributed to a complacent attitude and weak adherence to preventative procedures. Many precautions are taken not just for COVID-19, but also to protect us from other infectious diseases and daily allergies caused by pollution and dust. In order to restrict the viral propagation, more novel mitigation strategies should be created. • The novel case in this article is the presentation of the most preventative measures that are taken not just for COVID-19, but also to protect us from other infectious diseases and daily allergies caused by pollution and dust. • The overall structure of the review article is well-organized and balanced. The article is written with the minimum length necessary for all relevant information. The content is written clearly and correctly. • The title is adequate for the content, informative, concise, and clear. • Abstract is comprehensive, concise, and informative. it includes the most interesting and essential material, and it briefly describes the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. • Keywords are 9 keywords were provided immediately following the abstract. • Introduction provides a factual background, clearly defined problem, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done. Recent literature on the subject is summarized. • The Conclusions state the major findings of the study. • Declaration of competing interests is not present. • The patient consent is not applicable in the article. • The ethical approval is not applicable in the article. • References are mostly recent, appropriate, and adequate to related works covered sufficiently at the end of the manuscript. The authors did not follow the journal format in references. <p><u>Accordingly, it was recommended that the manuscript will be accepted after a minor revision as described below.</u></p> <p>According to the journal's Brief guidelines for the authors:</p> <p><u>The authors don't follow the journal format in references as described below.</u></p> <p>18. Jr, B. F. P. ., & Federico R. Tewes. (2021). What attorneys should understand about Medicare set-aside allocations: How Medicare Set-Aside Allocation Is Going to Be Used to Accelerate Settlement Claims in Catastrophic Personal Injury Cases. <i>Clinical Medicine and Medical Research</i>, 2(1), 61-64. https://doi.org/10.52845/CMMR/2021v1i1a1</p> <p>18. Pettinagill Jr BF, Tewes FR. What attorneys should understand about Medicare set-</p>	<p>Amended</p> <p>Done</p>

Review Form 1.6

	<p>aside allocations: How Medicare Set-Aside Allocation Is Going to Be Used to Accelerate Settlement Claims in Catastrophic Personal Injury Cases. Clinical Medicine and Medical Research. 2021 15;2(1):61-4.</p> <p>21. Daniel, V., & Daniel, K. (2020). Diabetic neuropathy: new perspectives on early diagnosis and treatments. <i>Journal of Current Diabetes Reports</i>, 1(1), 12-14. https://doi.org/10.52845/JCDR/2020v1i1a3</p> <p>21. Daniel V, Daniel K. Diabetic neuropathy: new perspectives on early diagnosis and treatments. <i>Journal of Current Diabetes Reports</i>. 2020 30;1(1):12-4.</p> <p><u>Some of the references are wrong with the names of the authors as described below.</u></p> <p>25. Daniel, V., & Daniel, K. (2020). Perception of Nurses' Work in Psychiatric Clinic. <i>Clinical Medicine Insights</i>, 1(1), 27-33. https://doi.org/10.52845/CMI/20-20v1i1a5</p> <p>25. Zeynep B, Sevim D. Perception of Nurses' Work in Psychiatric Clinic. <i>Clinical Medicine Insights</i>. 2020 19;1(1):27-33.</p> <p>29. Daniel, V., & Daniel, K. (2020). Exercises training program: It's Effect on Muscle strength and Activity of daily living among elderly people. <i>Nursing and Midwifery</i>, 1(01), 19-23. https://doi.org/10.52845/NM/2020v1i1a5</p> <p>29. Sugaono H, Tecchio JK. Exercises training program: It's Effect on Muscle strength and Activity of daily living among elderly people. <i>Nursing and Midwifery</i>. 2020 19;1(01):19-23.</p> <p>• <u>The authors don't follow the journal format in references (list the first six authors followed by et al.) as described below.</u></p> <p>33. Sbarra, A.N., S. Rolfe, J.Q. Nguyen, L. Earl, N.C. Galles, A. Marks, K.M. Abbas, et al. "Mapping Routine Measles Vaccination in Low and Middle Income Countries." <i>Nature</i> 589, no. 7842 (2021): 415-19. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-03043-4.</p> <p>33. Kisa A, Sbarra AN, Rolfe S, Nguyen JQ, Earl L, Galles NC, et al. Mapping routine measles vaccination in low-and middle-income countries.</p>	
--	---	--

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	<p><u>According to the journal's Brief guidelines for the authors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Declaration of competing interests is not present.• The patient consent is not applicable in the article.• The ethical approval is not applicable in the article.• There are many references that are not follow the style of journal.• Some of the references are wrong with the names of the authors as described above.• List the first six authors followed by et al. and follow the style of journal.	
Optional/General comments	<p><u>According to the journal's Brief guidelines for the authors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review article needs editing of language and quality of writing.• Declaration of competing interests is not present.• The patient consent is not applicable in the article.• The ethical approval is not applicable in the article.• There are many references that are not follow the style of journal.• Some of the references are wrong with the names of the authors as described above.• List the first six authors followed by et al. and follow the style of journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>