

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_86156
Title of the Manuscript:	Genotoxicity-suppressing effect of Sophora japonica L. aqueous extract
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research is generally good and will be a good contribution of knowledge to the scientific community	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The introduction needs to be expanded beyond its current state. Most methods were not cited, although outlined in details, could it be that the authors are the originators of the methods?? If not I think there should be citations	The introduction was expanded (highlighted in green in P1 - P2). The experimental method is our original method established in 2011. So, our own article was cited (highlighted in blue in P3 and ref 10).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	What does the authors aim to achieve with this research going forward?	Our next goal was stated in the last of Discussion (highlighted in blue in P8)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	