

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_83572
Title of the Manuscript:	Aqueous Hypoestes rosea Leaf extract Ameliorates Lead-Acetate-Induced Thyroid Hormones Disruption in Albino Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><b>Manuscript Title:</b> Aqueous Hypoestes rosea Leaf extract Ameliorates Lead-Acetate-Induced Thyroid Hormones Disruption in Albino Wistar Rats</p> <p><b>Manuscript Number:</b> Ms_JOCAMR_83572</p> <p><b>Dear the editor, How are you</b></p> <p><b>Reviewer Comment For Editor:</b></p> <p><b>ABSTRACT</b> All the <i>p</i> value must be write like <i>p</i> not as p or P</p> <p>Other correction were shown in Manuscript with red color</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b> Line 5 / the reference 2 (line 5-7) were repeated as reference 1 (line 1-3), so must merge the two paragraphs.</p> <p>Must be add a paragraphs about (hypoestes rosea) plant used in this study</p> <p>herbal medicines, herbal remedies and medicinal plants : Vocabulary should be unified</p> <p><b>2.1.1 Preparation of aqueous extracts Hypoestes rosea leaf</b> Line 2-3/ The pulverized powdered material was macerated with distilled water in a maceration jar for twenty four hour..... Paraphrase this Paragraph Line 4/ are .....corrected to were and is .....corrected to was Must add a references at the end of this Paragraph</p> <p><b>2. 1. 2 Calculation of Dose of Hypoestes rosea Leaf for Administration</b></p> <p>The equation must be write correctly</p> <p><b>2.5.1 Quantitative Determination of Free Triiodothyronine (fT3) Concentration</b></p> <p>Free Triiodothyronine (fT3) must be write the same symbol in all manuscript not as FT3 , also for (fT4) not FT4</p> <p><b>3. results and discussion</b> The tables must be referred to in the text before writing the tables, then the first table is mentioned, and the same is true for the rest of the tables. <i>Significance Level: *=<math>p&lt;0.05</math>; **=<math>p&lt;0.001</math>; ns=Not Significant (<math>p&gt;0.05</math>).</i>these words mentioned under the tables but the symbols (*) not mentioned in table ????. and also these words not mentioned in Table 2 and 3. ???</p> <p>thyroid stimulating hormone neglected and TSH is write.</p> <p>The results of our finding are in agreement with Sujatha et al. [11] who in their study found out <i>Ossimum sanctum</i> leaf extract ameliorated the effect of lead acetate on male Wister</p>	<p>Corrected.</p> <p>Paragraphs have been merged.</p> <p>Information on <i>Hypoestes rosea</i> has been added. These names have been harmonized to “medicinal plants”</p> <p>The statement has been paraphrased.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>The equation has been written correctly.</p> <p>All have been corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Corrections have been made. They have been mentioned.</p> <p>It was not repeated, the reference was cited at two different occasions.</p>

Review Form 1.6

	rats in a dose dependent manner. This increase might be due to the antioxidant property of AEHR, as hyperthyroidism and hypothyroidism are associated with oxidative stress in human and animals. More so, experimental data have shown that many flavonoids could inhibit thyro-peroxidase activity thus increasing TSH.-----this paragraph was repeated two times in discussion	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>General note:</b></p> <p>1- Some of symbols must be write in same way Like (<i>p</i>) for significant not like p or P ; (mL ) not ml and so on .</p> <p>2- The writing language is good grammatically and some vocabulary is not corrected.</p> <p>3- The proportion of modernity in the references is very law. So, must be use some of new research during 3 years ago</p>	<p>This was previously mentioned and has been corrected.</p> <p>All vocabulary errors have been corrected.</p> <p>All the old reference are citations for methods of analysis, where the original author(s) has to be retained.</p>

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues.