

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_82737
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical and Antibacterial profile of Moringa oleifera lam seed extracts on some wound and enteric bacterial pathogens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>title</b></p> <p><b>Phytochemical and Antibacterial profile of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> lam seed extracts on some wound and enteric bacterial pathogens</b></p> <p>I think the title needs to be revised to match the study done.</p> <p>1. either you don't specify the types of extracts and you also leave the seeds <b>Phytochemical and antibacterial profile of Moringa oleifera lam extracts</b></p> <p>2. Either you specify the types of extracts <b>Phytochemical and antibacterial profile of Moringa oleifera lam seed and leaf extracts.</b></p> <p><b>Phytochemical and antibacterial profile of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> lam seed and leaf extracts on some wound and enteric bacterial pathogens.</b></p>	<p><b>The work has been revamped and the title “Phytochemical and Antibacterial profile of <i>Moringa oleifera</i> lam seed extracts on some wound and enteric bacterial pathogens” remains the title.</b></p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b><u>ABSTRACT</u></b></p> <p><b>The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words</b> in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. Different sub-sections, as given below, should be used. there are no references cited in this part. there are non-standard abbreviations should not be used, if necessary, they should be clearly defined in the abstract, at first use.</p> <p><b>Many comments were made directly in the document.</b></p>	<p>The abstract has been modified.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p><b>Original research papers:</b></p> <p>This type of paper normally should not exceed 15 figures/tables. Type of papers advise a length of 3000-6000 words (including everything).</p>	

**Comment [U1]:** The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. Different sub-sections, as given below, should be used. there are no references cited in this part. there are non-standard abbreviations should not be used, if necessary they should be clearly defined in the abstract, at first use.

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	