

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_86558
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Model for the Cyclical Dynamics of Plastic Waste Management: A two-state Closed Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Kindly pay attention to <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>comments on Keywords of the Abstract</li><li>all comments on References not forgetting reference number 7</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>The word “correlates” in the abstract is correct, we only corrected the spelling mistake (regressors, determinants, correlates, etc., are synonymous). Keywords have been corrected, however in the case of Absolute Percentage Error, we have added the mean to read Mean Absolute Percentage error, since the two are different.</li><li>We have corrected all the references, reference number 7 has been inserted. In the stance of Senzige JP, it first appeared in the text after reference number 12. It appeared together with reference number 14 in the text (see [13,14] highlighted in the text). Its second appearance was after reference number 20. Please, in case reference number 39 is not adequate, we will need adequate information to write it. We first thought Ian Tieso was the author. We appreciate the information provided by the reviewer and the assistance.</li></ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Kindly take note of the recommended font size. The font-size of the entire manuscript was 10, which is not the recommended for this journal.</li><li>If possible, summarize further the Conclusions.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Well noted. We have corrected it to font size 11, we used the correction on the competing interest as the required font size. We have also highlighted the adopted competing interest. Thanks for the providing all the detail.</li><li>This suggestion has been executed as directed.</li></ol>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	