

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_85311
Title of the Manuscript:	STRUCTURAL AND MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF COPPER ANTIMONY SULPHIDE CuSbS <sub>2</sub> THIN FILM DEPOSITED BY SPIN COATING FOR PHOTOVOLTAIC APPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1- The SEM pictures must have the same scale to see the change in the sample structure with different temp.</b> <b>2-</b> How does the author know that the material has high reflectance and there is no test on the result section? <b>3-</b> The author did not mention the change in the energy gap in the result section. He must add absorbance measurement.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Thanks for observation, I acknowledge the mismatch in the scale of the same samples. It has been corrected to 200nm</li><li>2. The optical section was still under discussion but is now available in the corrected copy.</li><li>3. Band gap, absorption, reflectance and transmittance have been included the correction copy</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	