

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_84237</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Validation of the Protoplanetary Theory of Solar System Formation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short communication</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Page 1. Line 5 should read "...planets were thought.."</li><li>2. Page 1. Line 8 should read Here, I validated the..."</li><li>3. Page 1. Line 14 should read "...theory did not account..."</li><li>4. Page 1. Line 22 should read "...planets were thought to form..."</li><li>5. Page 2. Line 6 should read "...&lt;1 atm whereas..."</li><li>6. Page 2. Line 12 should read "...Mercury, the occurrence..."</li><li>7. Page 2. Line 13 should read "...moons, and the geological..."</li><li>8. Page 2. Line 23 should read "if the condensation was complete, at still..."</li><li>9. Page 3. Line 3 should read "...its mantle. Here, I validated the protoplanetary..."</li><li>10. Page 3. Table 1. Please check significant figures and correct them as well as add the errors (<math>\pm</math>) if possible.</li><li>11. Page 4. Line 7 should read "...(&lt;1 atm)..."</li><li>12. Page 5. Figure 2. The caption should read "...showing Mercury's pits..."</li><li>13. Page 6. Figure 3. The caption should read "...10 atm, Mercury's core..."</li><li>14. Page 6. Line 8 should read "Subsequently, violent T-Tauri..."</li><li>15. Line 6. Page 19 should read "...topography of Earth's ocean basins."</li><li>16. Page 7. Line 1 should read "...Dynamics explains more completely and more correctly, hence the observations were usually attributed to plate...."</li><li>17. Page 7. Line 11 should read "...masses, ultimately becoming..."</li><li>18. Page 7. Line 17 should read "...required physically impossible core convection and continent displacement reputedly required physically impossible mantle convection [23]. There were..."</li><li>19. Page 7. Line 18 should read "...supercontinent cycles were said to exist [47] to account for..."</li><li>20. Page 9. Ref. 16. The name of the journal should be capitalized and abbreviated.</li><li>21. Page 9. Ref. 28. The name of the journal should be abbreviated.</li><li>22. Page 10. Ref. 36. The name of the journal should be capitalized and abbreviated.</li><li>23. Page 11. Ref. 45. The name of the journal should be capitalized and abbreviated.</li><li>24. Page 11. Ref. 45. The name of the journal should be abbreviated.</li></ol>	I write reasonable well so it is a pleasure to have my writing improved by someone who has a better command of my native language. Thank you.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	