



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

| EDITORIAL COMMENT’S on revised paper (if any)   | Authors’ response to editor’s comments   |
|---|--|
| 1. Seems quite reliant on Education White Paper at the outset.<br>a. Should a research article be reliant on a white paper?<br>2. Section 6’s first sentence is repetitive.<br>3. Author(s) discusses phenomenology without really any insight provided as to how the article is phenomenology rooted or influenced. I have an article that might be of help (attached).<br>4. There just seems to be quite a bit going on in terms of theoretical framework, research paradigm, and then research design and methodology. I feel there might be a better way to combine these things and then also wonder whether all of it is even necessary.<br>5. I would prefer the “I” pronoun be removed from “ethical considerations”.<br><br>a. Instead of “Before I collected data...”, the sentence could read: “Before data were collected...”.<br>b. Elsewhere in the article “researchers” are used, and then in this section “I” is used.<br>6. Lastly, this is a 29 page article submitted as a “short research article”. This seems inappropriate. | 1. Addressed<br>2. Addressed<br>3. Addressed<br>4. Addressed<br>5. Addressed<br>6. Addressed. Length reduced from 29 pages to 26 pages. The actual article is 19 pages long excluding the references |