

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_85546
Title of the Manuscript:	Medical Education and EdTech Integration: Medical Educators Experience and Reflections on the Anatomage Table
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments O	1.The objective of the article is stated in the abstract, but not throughout the text. 2.In the tables it is not clear which unit is a percentage or an absolute number 1.Make the objective clear in the abstract and text. 2.Please standardize the assignment of the absolute number and among relatives a total	1. We have now emphasised the article's objective the more within the article; see the highlighted part in the first paragraph of the introduction, the last paragraph and the conclusion. These parts make references to the article/study objectives. 2. They values are percentages [%]; it is indicated now [see the highlighted parts].
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	