

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JESBS_84863 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact Analysis of Education in Emergency in Wangduechhoeling LSS |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The language in the paper needs a lot of improvement. Very long sentences have been used. The meaning is not clear at many places.2. The graphs and figures need to be presented in a better manner. The sentence that is written in the figures at the top should be uniform and written in a better manner.3. Some studies mentioned in the review but their references not written.4. Research papers are normally written in third person. Kindly check. <p>The comments have been mentioned in detail in the paper itself</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. According to the reviewer's comments, the author tried to improve language structure of the paper, restructured some long sentences and conveyed clear meanings.2. All the tables and figures were made presentable and consistent.3. Added three more in-text and end-text citations4. All are now written in third person point of view. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• All corrected manuscripts are highlighted in the revised paper. |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | Reflected in the paper. |