

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_83449
Title of the Manuscript:	Fish-oil supplements for schoolchildren: a pilot intervention setup in a Maltese school for policy formulation in school communities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Manuscript continues to describe more information after the conclusion. The conclusion can be structured to be short and more precise.	The Conclusion is normal in length and I do not see why it should be structured. After all, as an optional comment the reviewer said, "can be structured". He/she did not write, "should/must be structured". Whilst I thank this reviewer for the feedback, I prefer to leave the Conclusion as it is.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues. We obtained parents' consent. This is not a clinical trial.