



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_49224
Title of the Manuscript:	EFL INSTRUCTORS' AND STUDENTS' PERCEPTION AND PRACTICE TOWARDS ACTION RESEARCH: JIMMA COLLEGE OF TEACHERS EDUCATION IN FOCUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Page 2, Second Paragraph: Delete 'A' after Johnson</p> <p>Page 3, Last sentence of Paragraph 1, is not clear</p> <p>Page 3, 4th and 5th sentences of Paragraph 2 are not clear</p> <p>Page 3, Paragraph 2, delete 'D' from Hopkins</p> <p>Page 3, Paragraph 2, delete 'W' from Johnson and Supply page</p> <p>Page 3, Third to the last sentence is not clear</p> <p>Page 4, Second sentence of paragraph is not clear.</p> <p>Page 5, Item 4 under specific objectives is not clear</p> <p>Page 5, Insert "find out" in second sentence under "Scope of the Study"</p>	All corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Paper has no literature Review; the language is generally poor and need serious retouching.</p> <p>An appendix showing the questionnaire would be necessary To and understanding.</p> <p>Recommendations are necessary in the paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	