

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_88602
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on psychology evaluation method of nuclear power plant operators
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I admit that the field of the manuscript is far from my field of research where I am an expert but that does not prevent me from understanding what it is. So this manuscript is to be accepted In table 1: STD??	STD means standard
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Nuclear power plant operators play a more and more important part to plant safe operation. The paper analyzes and discusses the qualitative and quantitative evaluation methods for the operators. The comparison -analysis of the scope and result of application has been done between the method of outline figure fitted and the method of fuzzy synthetic evaluation. The research can be referenced in the evaluation of operators. low performance/ high performance: add a hyphen 3. 4 Summary and conclusion-(conclusion) the references are very old, the most recent is dated 2017.	Many thanks. My English is poor. I will try my best to improve. References have been added.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Agree with reviewer.