

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_88131
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of an Integrated Palm Fruit Juice Machine
Type of the Article	Research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract each of the parts used written in the first line should be eliminated. It also should be rewritten indicating its properties but not indicating that is highly recommendable.</p> <p>This sentence in in the introduction does not tell anything. (Rate of digestion increased with the increase in mass of the digesting palm fruits while the efficiency of the oil palm fruit digester decreased with increase in mass of the digesting palm fruit in some cases).</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The bibliography of Cornish should have the year after the author name. Points are missing after the year in parenthesis in the bibliography are missing in some citations. The citation of Ganuri (April 2022) should not include the month. The citation starting with Khurmi is not within the text. In section 2.2.5. <i>Determination of belts</i> tensions there is a pharathesis [16, 17] that is erroneous.	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Some small grammatical errors were found.</p> <p>It is recommended to add a Table with the results founds in each test.</p>	Grammar corrected

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	