

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_87940
Title of the Manuscript:	Deep Neural Network approach based Segmentation, Detection, and Classification of Brain Tumor
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract is general and needs to focus on the outcome direction and results of this chapter taking into consideration the title of the chapter. Thus, the abstract needs re consideration to conclude Highlight the gaps and mention how this chapter is going to address any/ some of the gaps. All these aspects (should be) presented in sequence. The Paper needs to be revised in English academic writing by a professional. Figures and tables are not clear	Thank you very much for your professional comments; we have corrected it as you suggested. 1. As you suggested, we have modified the Abstract. The changes are highlighted in the revised version of the paper. 2. As you suggested, The paper is reviewed by a professional, and all the corrections are highlighted in the revised version of the paper. 3. We have modified the tables and figures, as you suggested.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	