

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_87772
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN OF FACIAL RECOGNITION SYSTEM BASED FPGA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The title must be line FPGA based facial recognition system.2. The results must contain the Area, power and delay improvements from present state of art. This part is completely missing in the paper.3. The Viola jones algorithm is popular and have stablished many landmark hardware results which must be compared to produce appropriate improvement of your method.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Corrected2. Noted and corrected3. Noted
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. To improve the manuscript scientifically robust and technically sound: The author must add detailed implementation part, showing the hardware used, the area consumption, Power or delay measurements of the present design.2. Also paper wont reveals clearly whether VHDL or Verilog is used, ISE13.4 or VIVADO is used. Simply showing the bounding box over image wont reveals the Idea of the paper.3. Lastly there are typos and spell error like at first page in conclusion para, line second last "whuch gives the opportunity"	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Noted and Added2. The software used is now stated clearly3. corrected
Optional/General comments	NO	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	