

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_86970
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of monostatic radar system for accuracy improvement through parameter estimation
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>First of all, I would like to congratulate the authors of this work, here are some suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please better develop the Abstract of this work, highlighting the real relevance of this article for the World Scientific Community, 200-250 words;- Please enter 3-5 keywords, relevant to this research;- Please insert more Reference in the Introduction of this work, highlighting the problem;- Please work better on the following topics, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion of this work, address and discuss in more detail these topics;- I suggest inserting another topic right after the conclusion of this work, named Future Works, presenting what is expected in this work for the future;- Insert more Reference, high impact factor, current (2016-2022), and, Journal of Engineering Research and Reports;	<p>The manuscript has been structured to meet the reviewer's purpose / demand and corrections painted yellow as required.</p> <p>This is original research paper</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Corrected.