

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_86904</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AN ENHANCED INTELLIGENT FACTS DEVICE FOR REDUCTION OF LOSSES ON POWER LINES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Real time Problem Technical Paper</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Strictly the author should follow the manuscript template including the reference and guideline. Because his research should reach globally</li><li>2.</li><li>3. From figure, author just mentioning the representation. But this research should include the analysis report about the figure representation for better projection about their research.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Some corrections have been made on the references and highlighted as directed.</li><li>2. An analysis has been made on the figures and that has also be highlighted as directed.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Keywords should be the identical to your research. Not the global general terms like volt ,etc Static Synchronous Series Compensator (SSCS) is it SSSCS or SSCS in abstract FACTS –expansion?	The correct abbreviation of the Static Synchronous Series Compensator is <b>SSSC</b> not SSCS. This has been corrected in the revised paper 1 and properly highlighted as instructed.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	