

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_86904
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ENHANCED INTELLIGENT FACTS DEVICE FOR REDUCTION OF LOSSES ON POWER LINES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript shows the comparison of power losses with or without facts devices but a comparison graph should be mention.	Figures 3 and 4 were used for the comparison of when a SSSC was deployed and when it was not used in place of comparison graph. More analysis was also proffered and highlighted as instructed.
Minor REVISION comments	A table should be mention with explanation.	Comparison figures 3 and 4 were used bearing all the 52 buses.
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is accepted without major changes.	Thank you for the review.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	