

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_86614
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies of Aluminum and its alloys for sand mould casting Gating System.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. case study paper is done but define on what the aim this paper designed2. Importance of this study3. how this study differ from previous study4. why the only aluminium and alloys alone dealt in this study5. include advantages and disadvantages of this study	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) The study is conducted to minimize casting defect of spare part manufactured in textile Share Company, with this aim this study was design.2) The major importance of the présent study is to reduce the casting défets observe for aluminum alloy A356 for sand casting.3) This study was a newly study conducted at Bahir dar textile Share Company.4) The advantage of this study was to reduced the casting defects for aluminum alloy. The disadvantage is that this study was limited to aluminium and its alloys.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	As per the reviewer comments the paper is modified and revised manuscript is submitted for further consideration.