

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85826
Title of the Manuscript:	Paper Bags and Plastic Bag – A Brief Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is entitled “Paper Bags and Plastic Bag-A Brief Review” the authors tried their best to explain Plastics are one of the most widely and abundant substances in today's society. Worldwide the usage of low-density polyethylene bags create pollution on land and in the oceans, especially when they break down in macro and micro plastics. On all continents, governments try to establish solutions on how to fight plastic pollution form shopping bags by banning their use, implant fees for their usage and replace plastic bags with other types of bags. Paper bags are a valid solution, especially when manufactured from recycled materials. It is estimated, that In the United States, enough material is available to cover the replacement of the 100 billion plastic bags uses yearly with 100% recycled paper bags.</p> <p>The required investment is roughly 6 billion dollars for new paper machines and mills, creating up to 10,000 new jobs in the states these paper machines would be installed and operated.</p> <p>There are many technical, grammatical, and common mistakes in the article which should be corrected by the authors. Authors strictly follow the instructions and format of the respective Journal. <i>The final decision will be made on the respected Editor for publication in the prestigious Journal of Engineering Research and Reports.</i></p> <p><u>Technical, grammatical, and common mistakes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Revise the Title➤ ABSTRACT; Totally Confused.➤ Write keywords in alphabetical order.➤ Sorry to say. This is not a review. This is a brief history about plastic.➤ The authors should want to study the literature to better know about the review.➤ The authors want to cite the latest related references.➤ References should be put in a proper format. The present format is not according to the prestigious journal.➤ For reference uses EndNote or Mendeley software.	<p>We have changed the title.</p> <p>We have revised manuscript and proof read again.</p> <p>Key words are in alphabetical order</p> <p>References have been written according to the journal template.</p> <p>References used are actual available data from governmental and non-profit web sites as well as publications.</p> <p>Mentioned Software is not available and not needed.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	