

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85826
Title of the Manuscript:	Paper Bags and Plastic Bag – A Brief Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title is not clear. Just plastic bg or paper bag, what. Like Rewrite the abstract keep the objectives what has to be done. References to be written uniformly, not to include the link of website or pdf file, and so on. To write with research papers, books etc</p> <p>Proper statistic of plastic wastage and pollution and paper bag can be given in the table form.</p> <p>There is no continuity in giving or understanding the concepts or pollution problems.</p> <p>Just paper is written taking things from here and there</p> <p>Taking one case study as such can be explained properly what can be done and how paper bags can be produced and replaced.</p> <p>One by one concept can be taken and explained with case study</p> <p>Everything is just all jumbled like and written</p> <p>What is the innovative thing the author has thought of replacing the plastic bag with paper bag.</p>	<p>Paper has been proof read and revised.</p> <p>We feel written form is better</p> <p>The paper is intend to focus son plastic bac only,</p> <p>We have investigated different parts of the world and reported from material that is available.</p> <p>We have organized it indifferent world regions.</p> <p>This is a review paper no innovative solutions need to be presented.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>English correction is required.</p> <p>Check plagiarism</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	