

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85625
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Structure-property Relationship model Based on Artificial Neural Network
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Insufficient/lack of adequate statistics supporting the use and accuracy of ANN in QSPR (No statistical evidence of strength above the traditional empirical model).2. Thesis statement should be redefined to adequately portray the novelty of the research, the work done and the scope of the research.3. Results described in the various paragraphs in Section 3 (Establishment of QSPR Model with Artificial network) should be presented as a table to offer clarity to readers.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Some revisions have been made in the revised manuscript.2. Thesis statement has been redefined in the revised manuscript3. Tabel 2 has been added to show the results of experiment.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Inconsistent/erroneous citation style (Reference for a statement of fact should be cited and adequately punctuated before proceeding to the next sentence.2. Inappropriate style/lexical resource across paper (e.g. date for data)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The reference format has been modified according to the standard2. Some revisions have been made in the revised manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	