

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85529
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater Quality Assessment of Ikorodu Local Government Area of Lagos State in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. At the end of <i>Introduction</i> please define the aim of the study, its originality and novelty.2. Please rephrase the last phrase from the <i>Introduction</i> section.3. Figure 1 - please add the sampling points.4. In the Sample analysis section please add the quality assurance of the methods. For example, add the recovery, accuracy, precision of the methods, also if you used certified reference materials or reference materials, please add the supplier.5. In the Water quality index section please delete the word parameter from the 5th line ("The maximum weight of five was assigned to the parameter nitrate...").6. In the Water quality index section, in the 7th line please rephrase as it follows: "In the second step, the relative weight (Wi) was computed with the help of equation 1:".7. Please put the data from Table 4 into a phrase.8. In the Statistical analysis section please add "...SPSS software version 25".9. Section Results – please correct shows instead of "Table 5 show" and "Table 7 show".10. Please use the same font for the tables (Calibri) as it is used for the text (TNR).11. In Table 5 please add the admissible limits by WHO and NIS for the chemical indicators.12. You can unite Tables 6 and 5. Put the statistics at the bottom of the table.13. Please explain the positive and negative correlations between the chemical parameters.14. In Table 7 please correct NO³⁻.15. You can give an international flavor by comparing your findings with other studies.16. Conclusion section – please delete the from "...the Ikorodu LGA...".17. The Conclusion section is weak, you need do add at least three phrases with the main findings of the study.18. The manuscript needs to be checked by a native English speaker, because it has flows regarding the grammatically spelling.	<p>Done</p> <p>Thanks, sentence rephrased.</p> <p>Sampling points have been added.</p> <p>Quality assurance of the methods added.</p> <p>Noted and deleted</p> <p>Line rephrased</p> <p>Table format gives a better narrative</p> <p>SPSS software version 25 added</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>This will make the table ambiguous.</p> <p>Separated for clarity.</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Nice idea</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Conclusion addressed.</p> <p>Spellings checked.</p> <p>All spelling and grammar context will be looked into.</p>

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	