

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85529
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater Quality Assessment of Ikorodu Local Government Area of Lagos State in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>According to the data obtained, the discussion and conclusion are correct, but a table based on the article by Singh and Hussian, 2016, which does not correspond, was used in the methodology. In this article used as a source, the reference ranges do not correspond with Table 4 of this manuscript. You should find out from which source this table 4 was extracted.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>I suggest adding to the conclusion that you should implement public policies regarding the quality of water used by the population, aiming at a better quality of life, which will reduce health problems.</p>	<p>The correct reference (Chatterjee and Raziuddin, 2002) has been used.</p> <p>Included.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	