

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_85439
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Investigations of Sloshing Characteristics in Long Moving Vessels with Embedded Concave Rigid-ring Baffle in Gravity Environment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	From the literature review, Author can be concluded 1. By mentioning disadvantages 2. To overcome that problems, proposed approach by highlighting it. Figures can be elaborated in detailed manner instead with 1 or 2 lines.	(1) The disadvantages of the commonly used Flat Rigid-ring Baffle have been mentioned and the advantages of the concave Rigid-ring Baffle and how it will provide solution to the problem associated with its FRB counterpart. (2) Detail descriptions and elaboration of the figures and tables were done. Al this responses were highlighted in yellow maker in the manuscript..
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No