

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_84774
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical Assessment of the Impact of Sand Control Techniques on Production in a Vertical Well
Type of the Article	Applied Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I suggest refining the conclusion a bit.2. What theory or case is this numerical simulation technique proposed in the paper based on.3. Optimization Figure 1 and Figure 2	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The conclusion was refined accordingly• The numerical simulation was based on a case of well producing from high sand content formation such as those of the wells found on the Niger Delta field of Nigeria• The Figures 1 and 2 have been optimized to enhance readability

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	The case scenario of this study have been clearly described in the section on methodology. The conclusion has been refined accordingly (see text highlight in the conclusion section).