

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_84217
Title of the Manuscript:	A Systematic Approach to Reduce Wirebond defects caused by Tight Wire Loop Profile on Ball Grid Array Packages
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I. Introduction is so short; please add some literature review for previous works which also enrich your references list. II. Add final paragraph in Introduction as paper organization. III. Fig 6, has no label on x-axes. IV. Add flowchart for the steps of (cause and effect matrix), to clarify the procedure. V. Fig 10, has no label on x-axes. VI. It is not preferred adding references in conclusions.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Thank you for your comment. I have a short review of literature on the introduction and added it on the references2. Thank you for your comment. I have added one paragraph on the introduction our mentioned organization on the title of the manuscript.3. Thank you for your comment. Apologies for missing the labels. I have revised the figure, to include the x axis label.4. Thank you for your comment. I have added the flowchart for the steps of cause-and-effect matrix.5. Thank you for your comment. Apologies for missing the labels. I have revised the figure, to include the x axis label.6. Thank you for the comment. I have removed the references in conclusions as preferred.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> None	Thank you for your comments and inputs to improve the manuscript.