

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_84182
Title of the Manuscript:	Straw Paper for Art Applications – A Paper Development Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article is entitled “Straw Paper for Art Applications-A Paper Development Study” the authors tried their best to explain A wheat straw art paper applicable for artistic watercolor, oil, and encaustic painting applications was developed and produced with a 10% and 15% northern bleached softwood content on 12-inch (304 mm) wide laboratory-scale paper machine operated at a speed of 3.76 ft/min (1.14 m/min) with and without operating a calendar. The paper was used for several encaustic art studio projects.</p> <p>I appreciated the Manuscript, but there are many technical, grammatical, and common mistakes in the article which should be corrected by the authors. Authors strictly follow the instructions and format of the respective Journal. After the correction of all the mistakes, the article could be considered for publication in the prestigious <i>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</i>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Technical, grammatical, and common mistakes</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The author wants to revise the Title. This title is not suitable.➤ ISO write their abbreviation.➤ Write keywords in alphabetical order.➤ Check grammatically and spelling throughout the manuscript. There are so many mistakes.➤ For %, °C, wt % and for Figure, etc., follow the same format throughout the manuscript.➤ Mentioned the purity of all chemicals, company manufacturer name, and country.➤ 2.4. Wheat Straw Art Paper Hansheet Development Procedure [check the spelling]➤ making in a cold room at 41°F (5°C) at a moisture content of approximately 85%. [Revise it].➤ 3.4.2. write Starch formula and their MW in the text.➤ The author wants to write all the abbreviations after the full name in the text.➤ Section 3.7. how does the author control the different moisture from straw paper?➤ References should be put in a proper format. The present format is not according to the prestigious journal. <p>Cite the following references;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ doi:10.1007/s10924-021-02142-1➤ https://doi.org/10.1007/s13726-020-00849-x➤ DOI: 10.3390/polym13020268.➤ DOI. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42235-021-0046-7➤ https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-021-02045-1	<p>Revised</p> <p>Description is sufficient Done in Material section</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Added</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Not needed, starch preparation is explained in Done</p> <p>Description was adde</p> <p>Ca not be referenced. They are not paper additives and have not been used for this research..</p>

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	