

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_80887
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosing High Water Production in Kalama Field, Niger Delta
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	introduction must include more previous works and the objects of work must found at the end of introduction	Agree with reviewer and correction effected with the additional of more relevant work to boost the introduction
Minor REVISION comments	abstract must include more details of results and percentage improvement	The result of the analysis was captured in the abstract as channelling behind casing and multi-layered channelling. Measurement of percent improvement is outside the scope of this work as this work is more of diagnostics and remediation of excess water production
Optional/General comments	Introduction and work must include modern references with DOI.	This is done with the addition of more literature

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	