

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_77627
Title of the Manuscript:	Structural behavior of ferrocement concrete plates subjected to flexural and dynamic loadings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The author needs to further polish the English instead of using translation software to directly translate the native language into English. Grammar check is required.- References should be used in sequence through the entire paper.- The author should point out the innovation of his work. (through the introduction)- In the results and discussion, the author should add references and cite other people's simulation and experimental results to verify his point of view.- The author should mention a reference for the equation of the energy absorption formula (Sec. 5).	Amended
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- When introducing the research background and significance of the subject in the introduction, it is suggested that the author quote the latest references in recent three years.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	