

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_77063
Title of the Manuscript:	Real-time Optimization and Implementation of Multi-rate Digital FIR Kernel Filters Using MATLAB
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>There are a number of problems with the construction of sentences.</p> <p>There are quite a number of grammatical errors. The authors need to carefully read the report again line by line and make these corrections</p> <p>A number of the sentences are very long and the situation could be improved by breaking down the long sentences into more parts</p> <p>There are also a number of problems with the use of punctuation marks in sentences.</p> <p>A grammatical editing of the work will help greatly.</p> <p>Make appropriate use of subscripts and superscripts</p>	Revision amended
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Spelling:</p> <p>The authors need to pay very careful attention to spelling</p> <p>All abbreviations must be defined at the first instance of use</p>	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Ensure all cited reference were also listed</p> <p>Make appropriate use of subscripts and superscripts</p> <p>All equations must be produced using equation editor or Mathtype</p> <p>The authors need to pay very serious attention to the formatting of the text</p> <p>Correct this all through the document</p> <p>Good (Require some addition/amendment)</p> <p>The authors should related their result findings with relevant literature or other researchers findings, this grossly lacking in this work.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	