

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_88798
Title of the Manuscript:	KARIYA POD-HUSKS: A NOVEL BIOCATALYST IN BIODIESEL PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please change the use word of "biocatalyst" in title of article, it's usually refers to enzyme.2. Please delete the information about magnification in the title of fig 2, because there is scale line into SEM image which more important information compared to the magnification3. For biodiesel yields, you can make a graph or table for represent the data and please compared about biodiesel yield with different catalyst	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I disagree with the word enzyme to be used in this context. Biocatalyst is an establish word used in biodiesel production. More so, biocatalyst is a biodegradable substance that increases the rate of a chemical reaction whereas enzyme is a globular protein that can increase the rate of biochemical reactions.2. The information about magnification in the title of fig 2 has been deleted.3. A Table has been used to represent the data for biodiesel yield and it was compared to different catalyst
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	