

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_87805
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of a Sludge Blanket Reactor for Effluent Treatment - A Laboratory Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic 'Introduction' written by the authors of the manuscript is very good as it describes very well the problems involved in the study, with examples of images and also of all the components involved in the process.</p> <p>The topic 'Materials and Methods' is well distributed and explained, however, it is long and can be interrupted due to its large size. With this, it is recommended that the authors remove some information from this topic and put it together in the 'Results' topic together with other pertinent information about the operation of the bioreactor and its success in the production of biogas. Thus, the importance of some process factors can be better understood in this topic.</p>	Authors feel that the material section should stay as it is. Material section describes the processes and do not describe results. Result section references material section if needed.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	