

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_86010
Title of the Manuscript:	The Possibility of Biogas Production from Anaerobic Co-digestion of Hemp – A perspective in Germany
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper is very interesting because show the See the symbols that appear... C.Sativa only has higher values of Δ9-THC through specific breeding and is therefore referred to as a fiber genus [11].</p> <p>– it contains the bacteria that enable the release of biogas a priori. During the anaerobic fermentation with the LBAF, constant pH values between pH 7 and pH 8.5 were maintained. At pH values below pH 6, the methane-producing bacteria would have died. Both analysis systems described above were used. First, the biogas production was measured with the LBAF and then the methane content with the LBMA.</p> <p>Figures 3 shows....see the concordance...</p>	<p>Revised in cursive and spelled out. I think it is better that way.</p> <p>Revised</p> <p>Changed figure number. Thank you it was an oversight.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	