

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85970
Title of the Manuscript:	THE TRAJECTORY OF EVOLUTION OF STATE PARTICIPATION IN NATURAL RESOURCES IN DEVELOPING NATIONS UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is stated in para 3 of 'Introduction' that "International law is firm on the position that states hold natural resources in trust for the good of the people and economic development of the nation". It is desired that suitable reference(s) be cited to corroborate this statement. 2. It is stated in page 22 that 'It can be argued however that if there's no state participation, government revenues would be drastically reduced and government would not be able to meet its financial obligations.'. It is expected of the authors to comment on the merits and demerits of such a stand. 3. In 'Recommendations' para.1, suitable references may be cited. 	<p>Appropriate reference has been cited.</p> <p>The author has adduced some points to explain the merits and otherwise of state participation in natural resource development.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Last line in the last para of 'Introduction', word 'effective' to be changed as 'effectively'. 2. Page 3 last line, '...exceed 50 percent...' to be corrected as '...exceeding 50 percent...' 3. Page 4, sub-title III to read as 'whose' instead of who's 4. In page 4, sentences reading 'Although natural resources are vested in the States following the doctrine of PNSR. Yet, it these resources are to be deployed for the benefit of the people by the State.' to be properly combined. 5. Page 4 first para last sentence (States have the duty to ensure that the natural resources and proceeds from it must be used for the development and well-being of the people.), delete the word 'must'. 6. Page 4 last line to read '.....in partnership with private companies.' 7. Page 5, for the statement 'The presence of oil was known in Venezuela even before the Discovery of the Americas in 1492.', suitable references may be cited for corroboration. 	<p>All minor corrections have been effected as stated..</p>

Review Form 1.6

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. Page 6, first sentence to be corrected as '.... have not been directly benefited....' 9. Page 6, first para last sentence, '...exists' to read '.... exist'. 10. Page 6 second para last but one sentence to read 'It is clear....' 11. Page 9 second para last but one line to read'... both at the national and international level...' 12. Page 14, first line to read 'It also participates'. 13. 6.1.2 first line to be appropriately corrected. 14. Page 17 first para first line 'benefit of...' 15. Throughout the text it is noted that full stop precedes the parenthesis []. The other way is preferred. 16. 7.4 first line '....employ Nigerians....' 17. 7.5 second line 'Independent oil companies' 18. Page 17 second para line 8 - remove apostrophe to contractors 19. Page 22 para ahead of conclusion the sentence '.... This stands of the structure of corruption...' to read 'This states....' 20. In Conclusion, line 3...Each <u>State</u>....(instead of States). 21. In Conclusion, line 4...There are various <u>laws</u>..... 	
Optional/General comments	Any reader will get a general feeling that the author has paid only little attention to the spell-check, punctuation and grammar aspects.	The author has read over the work again and effected all necessary corrections.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues