

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85629
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Beyond a Review: Developments in Heat Pump Dryers from the Recent Past to the Present
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Correct the grammatical mistakes.	First of all, thank you for your valuable comments. After making all the required corrections, I uploaded the manuscript to ithenticate. The result was 33%. However, when I removed the reference list from the manuscript and loaded it back into the ithenticate application, the result was 13%. I scanned the entire manuscript and corrected any grammatical mistakes.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	