

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85576
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of petroleum excise tax costs on firm productivity in Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Too lengthy article – required precise writing</li><li>2. Appreciation for 89 references, but most of the citation mentioned only about the concept of different author,</li><li>3. Last Paragraph in introduction gives about the proceeding and the Table 1 – Definition, not required since it is a research article not teaching others.</li><li>4. Table 2: Showing Descriptive statistics and Table 4: Showing, Ordinary least square estimation are given with only mean, deviation, Coefficient, error- what about actual data arrived.</li></ol>	<p>Thank you so many reviewers for the comments raised, we addressed them according to the way you have guided us as follows:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The paper is too lengthy and required precise writing, we have made the precise write up as per your guidance see page 1, 2 and also other pages</li><li>2. <b>Appreciation for 89 references, but most of the citation mentioned only about the concept of different author.</b></li></ol> <p>Thank you so much reviewer for raising up this, we have been able to adjusted and the authors voice is now visible in the write up of the entire article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. <b>Last Paragraph in introduction gives about the proceeding and the Table 1 – Definition, not required since it is a research article not teaching others.</b> Thank you so much reviewer for raising up this issue. Table 1 definitions' of the concepts have been deleted according to your guidance (<b>see page 2</b>)</li><li>4. <b>Table 2: Showing Descriptive statistics and Table 4: Showing, Ordinary least square estimation are given with only mean, deviation, Coefficient, error- what about actual data arrived.</b></li></ol> <p>Thank you so much reviewer for raising this issue on the actual data arrived , we have captured it in our corrected report</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Minor English correction	Minor English correction Thank you so much reviewer for highlighting this issue, we have made thorough correction to entire paper see (page 1-14)
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-----	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	