

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85171
Title of the Manuscript:	Household Fuel Choice in Urban Kenya: A Multinomial Logit Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NA	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper is laudable. Diligent efforts have been put in by the authors. The conclusions are apposite and have been nicely summed up.</p> <p>The paper is suitable for publication with minor revisions.</p> <p>The paper should incorporate the following :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Conversion of biomass residue anaerobically into methane in a biogas plant instead of burning the biomass directly</li><li>2. Supplying the biogas from biogas plants to households using metered pipelines</li><li>3. Techno-economic viability of Liquified Natural Gas with respect to Liquified Petroleum Gas</li><li>4. Providing monetary incentive schemes to residents to promote biomass procurement</li><li>5. Blending biomass with coal and using it in thermal power stations fitted with Carbon Capture and Utilization units instead of households to extol the concept of a circular economy</li></ol>	<p>Techno-economic model briefly captured</p> <p>noted</p>
Optional/General comments	NA	Noted

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	