

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84770
Title of the Manuscript:	Interlinkages between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: A Review, an ARDL Bounds Testing Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Research Gap is not clearly identified/ or mentioned properly.</li><li>- Logic behind employing ARDL is not clearly justified.</li><li>- Post-estimation tests are required to justify the suitability of the model.</li></ul>	All comments provided by reviewer have been revised and placed in the main documents provided by editor
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The research Gap is not clearly identified/ or mentioned properly.</li><li>- Recommendation part is missing.</li><li>- Some empirical studies are outdated. Do the review of articles published later than 2010.</li></ul>	These comments have been revised
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Reframing of the article is suggested.</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	