

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84770
Title of the Manuscript:	Interlinkages between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: A Review, an ARDL Bounds Testing Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	None	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The Abstract should indicate some statistical results/records based on findings The Abstract should not carry reference <b>(Odhiambo (2009))</b> , remove the highlighted section from the Abstract	Correction made  OK
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The INTRODUCTION is too lengthy. It should be reviewed.  ( <b>see, e.g.,</b> ) Siemsen et al. 2010, the remove the highlighted section should not be part of the references in the write-up. So it should be remove before writing the references.	Done revision

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	