

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84762
Title of the Manuscript:	Solar Energy Integration and Potential Challenges in Distribution Network: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Most of the images looks like copied from some oher sources. Need to be draw.Need Comparision table for the results.No Simulation CircuitNeed more clarification.Article poorly written.	<p>Thank you so much for your comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">I ensure that images are not copied; rather I have used an industrial software ETAP for this purpose, and the obtained results are directly taken into manuscript without any tempering. However, results are drawn as per reviewer’s comments.Comparison table has been provide (table 2) in the manuscriptSince this is a review paper; the figure number 1itself is the base circuit for this manuscript.Clarification has been doneWritten style has been improved.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issue