

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84134
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY EFFICIENCY BEST PRACTICES: A CASE STUDY IN AN INDUSTRIAL SITE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A case study that can benefit many.	I have highlighted in red the part that needs to be paraphrased and acknowledged in the manuscript. I have made corrections (in red)..in the manuscript. Author's comment: Thank you for this comment. I have made the modifications in the revised version of the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Work on the paragraph that needs to be paraphrased and acknowledged.	Author's comment: I have made the modifications.
Optional/General comments	An article that is easy to understand even when it is read by someone who is not from the field of the author.	Author's comment: Thank you for this comment.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	