

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84134
Title of the Manuscript:	ENERGY EFFICIENCY BEST PRACTICES: A CASE STUDY IN AN INDUSTRIAL SITE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Citation should be removed from the abstractSince the study focuses more on emission reduction rather than energy saving-direction, a more suitable topic would be Carbon emission efficiency, rather than Energy efficiency.Authors should provide critical definitions of energy efficiency and which of the definitions apply to this study.Reference source of Fig 1 should be providedThe study location is not well defined, authors should give detail about the case study and the reason for choosing it.All the tables have no caption. Authors should provide themThe table in the conclusion section has no number and caption and should be moved into the discussion section.The conclusion only captures emission reduction with no particular mentioning of energy-saving innovations identified in the study. Author should give some of the process intensification measures that enhanced energy efficiency.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">I have removed the citation from the abstract.I agree. I have modified the title and other parts of my manuscript to reflect that.I have provided critical definitions of energy efficiency and explained which of the definitions apply to this study.I have provided reference source of Fig 1I have given more details about the case study and explained reason for choosing it.Caption provided for all tables.The table in the conclusion section has now number and caption and has been moved into the discussion section.The conclusion has been modified to reflect reviewer’s comment (mention of energy-saving innovations identified in the study etc.)
Optional/General comments	Quite comprehensive	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	