



Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_78355
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HAZARD AND RISK RELATED ACTIVITIES AT NPDC-OGINI OIL FIELD FLOW STATION, OKPAILE IN ISOKO NORTH, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This is a valuable manuscript mainly due to two main reasons: the great amount of interesting experimental work presented and the immediate application of the results obtained. It is really noticeable the effort made by the authors, so the first I want to do is congratulate them for this work. It is an original work I therefore recommend publication of this manuscript</p> <p>The article is sufficiently novel (Origin) and interesting to warrant publication. The article adheres to the journal's standards.</p> <p>All the information presented strictly necessary to convey the message of the manuscript. In the other hand, the manuscript contains few mistakes and one important weakness, because both redaction and grammar are poor. I encourage the authors to revise redaction and grammar carefully, because the work done deserves its publication</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated



Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	