

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_87122
Title of the Manuscript:	Networks of small scale agro food processing enterprises in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study was conducted to highlight the influence of networks on the performance of small agri-food processes. The article also highlights what needs to be done to ensure that a subsector contributes more by improving performance and generating revenue. The structure of the network of small agri-food processing companies proves that there is a low degree of links between small agri-food processing companies. At the same time, most small food processing companies had the lowest proportion of members, which means that processors inadequately value their sub-sectoral and business partnerships. To address this issue, it is appropriate to give more importance to local independent business organizations, local chambers of commerce and sub-sectoral associations in terms of the flexibility and efficiency of small agri-food processing companies. This should be done through networking to revitalize the agri-food industry and public sector networks to promote trade cooperation and create the conditions for the creation of more networks of agri-food processing companies. It is a well-founded, well-documented material that offers solutions to certain specific problems in these sectors.	. This portion is included in the manuscript in the conclusion section (page 18)
Minor REVISION comments	Regarding the prepared article, we suggest the revision of the bibliographic sources in the sense of completing them with the authors referred to in the article. Authors or entities that are not found in the bibliography are mentioned in the content of the article (ex. Nijkamp, 2003; ILO (2004))	The citations are included in the reference list as shown on page 19 highlighted by yellow colour
Optional/General comments	Ethical issues refer only to the bibliographic sources, which must be completed in the sense specified in the section "Minor REVISION comments".	Point noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None