

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_87121
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Trade Openness and Real Exchange Rate on Economic Growth in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- (FDI) is a Foreign Direct Investment, but in some places (4 times) the terminology defined as Foreign Domestic Investment. (Clarify).- The literature review has a lack of recent citation, (Need to use the recent researches papers such as (2020, 2021 & 2022)).- Explain the Dummy variable in the model specification section as mentioned in the model without any definition or explanation there.- Section 5.0, I do suggest to be in one section (Conclusion), once there is a conclusion so no need for sub-titles for summary and recommendation (merge them in one paragraph with briefly discussion including recommendations).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Corrections have been made as suggested about Foreign Direct Investment (FDI).- The review of the current literature was done- To reflect the effect of the economic reforms such as trade liberalization and different privatization policies on the economy, this study has concentrated on those policies that have been introduced and reformed since 2005 and how they affect economic growth. Therefore, in this case, the <i>dummy variable</i> was introduced. The dummy variable was given the values 0 and 1, 0 was assigned to a period from 1970 to 2004, and 1 was assigned to a period from 2005 to 2016.- The suggestion about the conclusion section was considered
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Revise the title to more attractive for readers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- We still think the current title of the manuscript is proper and attractive to readers

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	