



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_67239
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Domestic Investment, Export Expansion and Economic Performance in Nigeria: A Vector Autoregression Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Abstract should not mention the “ the study would” rather use what you did in past tense as the abstract is written after the completion of the study; ➤ Rewrite the abstract ➤ ADD some more literature ➤ Overall use past tense in literature review. ➤ ALSO MENTION DETAIL OF THE WORD USED IN TITLE” Economic Performance. There should be similarity in the title and variable used in the running text or title should be explained in variable description section; ➤ There are English language mistakes ; see yellow highlights in the paper ➤ WRITE 5/6 POINTS OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS IN AN EFFECTIVE MANNER) ➤ ALSO WRITE THE LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDYIN THE END ➤ Change hypotheses : the writer writes “ does not” how can he/she write so while the literature goes on both ways so should write as” I. Exportst have significant impact on Nigeria’s economic growth; II. Domestic investment has significant impact on Nigeria’s economic growth” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The abstract has been re-written and corrected to reflect the reviewer’s comment. ➤ A few more literature has been added to strengthen the literature review section of the article (See Literature Review) ➤ The term Economic Performance as used in this simply imply economic growth. It is stated in the description of variables. ➤ All highlighted grammatical errors have been corrected as suggested by the reviewer. ➤ The policy recommendations for the study have been effectively incorporated at the end of the manuscript. ➤ Both hypotheses have been restated as instructed by the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	PLEASE SEE THE YELLOW COLORED WORDS AND FOLLOW THE DIRECTIONS	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	