

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_88538
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal potential of three plant extracts in the control of some major fungi associated with cashew seeds (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	-Good but spacing mistakes were observed. -Review comment embedded in article correct properly in entire manuscript.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Spaces in the manuscript have been removed.- Dear Editor, in the above manuscript, it was omitted the acknowledgements. We have integrated this aspect in the manuscript we are submitting to you.
Optional/General comments	Use the following references Andayanie WR, Lukito M, Chasanatun F. Antifungal of Cashew (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i> Linn) leaves, nutshells, and peduncle bagasse ashes extracts against sooty mould fungi (<i>Capnodium</i> sp). InIOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering 2021 (Vol. 1011, No. 1, p. 012039). IOP Publishing. Liao M, Ren X, Gao Q, Liu N, Tang F, Wang G, Cao H. Anti-fungal activity of moso bamboo (<i>Phyllostachys pubescens</i>) leaf extract and its development into a botanical fungicide to control pepper phytophthora blight. Scientific reports. 2021 Feb 18;11(1):1-0.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- These two articles proposed by the reviewer have been exploited and help the authors to improve the quality of the manuscript, mostly in references.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	