

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_86440
Title of the Manuscript:	Pig manure management Practices in South-East Benin (West Africa)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>L = line</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>L11: "Sex of pig farmer" -> the authors used "gender" in L73 (Metodology), I think "gender" is more appropriate.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>L24-25: What does "DM" mean? Dry Matter? Please specify.</p> <p>L37: "to anpleasant odour" -> unpleasant</p> <p>L52: "contribution to our knowledge" -> do not use "our", be impersonal</p> <p>-> I think pig farming is a very profitable economic activity in some places. The authors could check this information and write it in the introduction.</p> <p>Material and methods</p> <p>L74-75: "The questionnaire was transmitted to farmers who could not read or write in their native language, and their responses were recorded." -> I could not understand how the questionnaire was applied in this case. Please rewrite the sentence and be clearer.</p> <p>L79-80: "participation was encouraged by the promise made during the pilot survey that the researchers would provide veterinary services to the farms after sampling" -> Strange. Can this tradeoff cause the research to be biased?</p> <p>L107: "unless we impose" -> do not use "we", be impersonal</p> <p>L112: the number (2) in the end of the sentence, in L105 and L115 number (1) and (3) are after the equation</p> <p>L117: "poultry management activities" -> poultry?</p> <p>L149-150: "Most pig farmers' households were between 6-10 sizes" -> I didn't understand this sentence. Please rewrite.</p> <p>L159-160: "plateau" -> Plateau</p> <p>Table 2: "pig barn" in the title and "Pigsty" in the column 1. -> Use the same term, don't change.</p> <p>L160: "Pigsties", column 1 of table 2: "Pigsty" -> Use the same term, don't change.</p> <p>L160-161: "semi-improved", column 1 of table 2: "Semi-enhanced" -> Use the same term, don't change.</p> <p>L172 "heaps", Column 1 of table 3: "On the ground" -> Use the same term, don't change.</p> <p>-> for all tables check if the terms in the table are the same terms used in the text, do not change as showed above.</p> <p>Table 6: "sex" or gender?</p> <p>L247: "plateau" -> Plateau?</p> <p>L271: "we have identified" -> don't use "we", be impersonal</p>	<p>Genre</p> <p>Dry Matter</p> <p>Unpleasant</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>"The questionnaire was Translated"</p> <p>No this tradeoff don't cause the research to be biased. It shows the importance of research for improving pig farming conditions. It also enable to inform the pig breeders of the soon dissemination of research results. It also shows that it is a research-development.</p> <p>Equation number</p> <p>pig management activities</p> <p>Most households of the pig farmers were between 6 and 10 people.</p> <p>Plateau</p> <p>Pigsty for singular and pigsties for plural</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>It is gender</p> <p>Plateau</p> <p>Ok</p>
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	