

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_86167
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF EARLY UPWARD TAPPING ON RUBBER PRODUCTIVITY OF CLONE PB 260 OF THE FAST METABOLIC ACTIVITY CLASS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript can be published with a note that corrections are made according to the results of corrections in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	There are several revisions as contained in the manuscript for improvement	
Optional/General comments	<p>Notes for improvement</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Keywords are written in alphabetical orderIntroduction<ul style="list-style-type: none">The quotations used are suggested from the latest journals to keep up with the development of science and technologyNeed to add novelty from previous research, research advantages and solutions to be achievedThe scope of research and research objectives are suggested to be clarifiedResearch method<ul style="list-style-type: none">The method used, if adopted or developed from the existing method, needs to be written downThe mathematical equations used, if adopted or developed from existing equations, need to be written downResults and discussion<ul style="list-style-type: none">In the discussion, it is recommended to add the latest journal to strengthen the statementImages are recommended to follow standard sizes or follow journal instructionsConclusion<ul style="list-style-type: none">In the conclusion section, it is recommended that there be no conclusion sentencesThe conclusion section emphasizes the findings that answer the research objectives with the best results as answers to the problemsIt is recommended to add "Acknowledgments" especially to those who have assisted in research activities and other parties who have contributed.It is recommended to use only the latest journals, the maximum publication age of the cited journal is not more than 10 yearsFollow the Journal writing format	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Keywords have been written in alphabetical orderIntroduction the remarks have been taken into account in the document.Research method The method used, was elaborated through the methods of some authors cited in the document as the document was being written. All recommendations have been taken into account in the text. <p>The majority of the remarks have been taken into account in the document and have been underlined in yellow.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	