

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_85969
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycorrhizal fungi and their importance in the conservation of the Caatinga
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	1- The title of the article clearly reflects its content. 2- The abstract is well written and clear. 3- The researcher follows the styles of methodology according to the scientific principles. 4- The article is weak, but it is written clearly and easily for the reader, and there are no misspellings. 5- The research did not include a scientific addition to the field of specialization.	OK Thanks  Noted  Revised
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The objective of the study is complete.	ok
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Research needs to add new references .	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	