

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_84983
Title of the Manuscript:	Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) Response to Combinations of Ethalfluralin Plus S-Metolachlor Applied Prior to Planting
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript provides important information about sesame response to ethalfluralin at 0.63, 0.84, or 1.05 kg ha-1 in combination with S-metolachlor at 1.07 or 1.42 kg ha-1 applied and incorporated prior to planting. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of agriculture. Some corrections need to be made, in order to publish the manuscript.</p> <p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- the general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, figures, tables and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li><li>- I recommend the complete insertion of the table on a single page (see table 1, pages 2-3);</li><li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.);</li><li>- I recommend clearer wording and shortening of the Conclusions, as the current form contains information that should be included in the other chapters;</li><li>- I recommend deleting the bibliographic references from the Conclusions chapter and inserting them in the other subchapters;</li><li>- I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references;</li><li>- Recommend unitary editing of bibliographic references in accordance with the requirements of the journal;</li><li>- The presentation of the results obtained from this study is very short, without reference to other studies with similar results and I recommend a review of this issue.</li></ul> <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error. Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	<p>Extra spaces, etc are created by the template to conform to the guidelines specified by the journal. Margins are justified.</p> <p>Again, interups flow of manuscript to put each table on a separate page and does not fit the guidelines of the journal.</p> <p>The Conclusions reflect the effect of incorporation of the herbicides and rainfall (or lack. thereof) had on sesame stand, injury, and yield and it would be inappropriate to discuss in another section because those factors affect all stages of sesame growth and yield. The references help to reinforce the expalnations for the results.</p> <p>Yes, older references are used because there is very limited research on herbicide work in sesame and there are very recent publications.</p>
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	